



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/815,555		03/22/2001	David B. Squires	X-857 US	6451	
24309	7590	04/09/2004		EXAMINER		
XILINX, I		D. C.	HUYNH, KIM NGOC			
	ATTN: LEGAL DEPARTMENT 2100 LOGIC DR  ART UNIT PAPER N				PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE,		24		2182		
				DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

× 1			
• /	Application No.	Applicant(s)	SA
Advisory Action	09/815,555	SQUIRES, DAVID B.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kim Huynh	2182	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this appli : (1) a timely filed amendment wh peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply ich places the applica	y to a Ition in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat	·		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f).	r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See	e MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount of the ned statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate exten the final Office action; or (2)	nsion fee under ) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 G		-	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		•
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	uld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 10-14</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	pproved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater		1	
10. Other:			

KIM HUYNH PRIMARY EXAMINE 4 6 0 4 Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/815,555 -

Application No.





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation "configurable periperal device comprising a configurable blook having circuitry capable of implementing a plurality of logic function" is a new consideration in combination with the existing elements of the existing claims .